

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/663,968	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YIP, PING	
	<b>Examiner</b> KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK	<b>Art Unit</b> 1631	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK.

(2) Ms Sheryl Silverstein.

**Date of Interview:** 5 November 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *Proposed examiners amendment* .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Ms. Silverstein was contacted by telephone on 11/04/2010 with a proposed examiners amendment. Ms. Silverstein indicated she would present the applicant the proposed amendment. On 05 November 2010, Ms. Silverstein indicated that the applicant had approved the amendment.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)